UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America v.))
Timothy Gerome McCullers) Case No: 5:94-CR-97-6F
Date of Previous Judgment: September 5, 1995 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	USM No: 15233-056) Defendant's Attorney Thomas P. McNamara
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence	Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of the defendant	under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term
• •	g range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive t to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and the court having considered such
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
☐ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defe	endant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected
in the last judgment issued) of	months is reduced to months.
	cceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RA	NGE (Prior to Any Departures)
Previous Offense Level:	Amended Offense Level:
Criminal History Category:	Criminal History Category:
Previous Guideline Range: to mon	Amended Guideline Range: to months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDE	LINE RANGE
The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline	range.
	s than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of tion, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended
Other (explain):	

DEFENDANT: Timothy Gerome McCullers

CASE NUMBER: 5:94-CR-97-6F

Judgment - Page 2 of 2

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

After reviewing all relevant factors, the court finds that Section 1B1.10, Application Note 4(A), Exclusion Relating to Revocation, provides that only a term of imprisonment imposed as part of the original sentence is authorized to be reduced under this section. A reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed upon revocation of supervised release is not authorized. The sentence for which the defendant is currently incarcerated results from a revocation of supervised release. Therefore, the defendant is not eligible for a reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 706 as amended, and the defendant's motion is denied.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: 9/1/10	James C. Ly
	Judge's signature
Effective Date:	James C. Fox, Senior U.S. District Judge
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title

the street that the street is a street of the street of th